## Oklahoma Pardon and Parole Board Voting for February 2016

Table 1. Parole Docket, February 2016*

|  | Docket Total |  | Favorable Votes |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Non-Violent | 179 | $67.0 \%$ | 58 | $32.4 \%$ |
| Violent | 88 | $33.0 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 7 \%}$ |

* Ineligible not included in analysis (9 or 3.3\%)

Table 2. Favorable Votes, by Member (n=267)

|  | Non-Violent (179) |  | Violent (88) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of <br> Favorable <br> Votes | Percent | Number of <br> Favorable <br> Votes | Percent |
| Vanessa Price (Chair) | 69 | $38.5 \%$ | 1 | $2.3 \%$ |
| Patricia High (Vice-Chair) | 57 | 31.8 | 6 | 2.3 |
| Robert "Brett" Macy | 52 | 29.1 | 2 | 7.0 |
| Thomas Gillert | 55 | 30.7 | 6 | 8.1 |
| William Latimer | 61 | 34.1 | 10 | 15.1 |
| BOARD CONSENSUS TOTAL | $\mathbf{5 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 . 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$ |

Table 3. Protests, by Type ( $\mathrm{n}=267$ )

|  | Victim | District <br> Attorney | Delegates <br> Supporting the <br> Offender |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-Violent | 0 | 16 | 17 |
| Violent | 0 | 12 | 0 |
| TOTAL PROTESTS | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ |

Table 4. Conditions of Parole for Non-Violent Offenders* (n=58)

|  | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Monthly Urinalysis (MUAs) | 52 | $90.0 \%$ |
| Substance Abuse Treatment | 50 | 86.2 |
| Cognitive Behavioral Treatment | 24 | 41.4 |
| Interlock Device | 21 | 36.2 |
| Sober Living Facility | 14 | 24.1 |
| Psychological Counseling | 11 | 19.0 |
| AG or Tribal Batterer's Program | 10 | 17.2 |
| Anger Management | 5 | 8.6 |
| Education/GED | 4 | 6.9 |
| Community Level Work Release | 3 | 5.2 |
| Tribal Re-Entry Program | 2 | 3.4 |
| Mental Health Base Facility | 1 | 1.7 |
| Residential Substance Abuse Treatment | 1 | 1.7 |
| Ricketts Program | 1 | 1.7 |
| Thinking for a Change | 1 | 1.7 |

*The Board may impose or recommend stipulations, conditions, and/or limitations in the granting or the recommendation of parole. These may include programs, services and/or limitations. Stipulations must be completed prior to release from prison. Conditions must be completed post- release while on parole. Limitations may include urinalysis or interlock. Offenders may be ordered to more than one stipulation, condition and/or limitation of parole.

Table 5. Number of Conditions of Parole per Offender ( $\mathrm{n}=58$ )

|  | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Zero | 5 | $8.6 \%$ |
| One | 0 | 0.0 |
| Two | 6 | 10.3 |
| Three | 13 | 22.4 |
| Four | 24 | 41.4 |
| Five | 8 | 13.8 |
| Six | 1 | 1.7 |
| Seven | $\mathbf{5 8}$ | 1.7 |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Average Number of Conditions (per offender) | $\mathbf{3 . 4}$ |  |
| Range | $\mathbf{7}$ |  |

